# organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Vanessa Stacy,<sup>a</sup> Guy Crundwell,<sup>a</sup>\* James B. Updegraff III,<sup>b</sup> Matthias Zeller<sup>b</sup> and Allen D. Hunter<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Central Connecticut State University, New Britain, CT 06053, USA, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Youngstown State University, One University Plaza, Youngstown, Ohio 44555-3663, USA

Correspondence e-mail: crundwellg@mail.ccsu.edu

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.031 wR factor = 0.067 Data-to-parameter ratio = 24.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. 2,3-Bis(5-bromo-2-thienyl)-6,7-dichloroquinoxaline

The title compound,  $C_{16}H_6Br_2Cl_2N_2S_2$ , was synthesized from the reaction of equimolar amounts of 1,2-diamino-4,5dichlorobenzene and 1,2-bis(5-bromothien-2yl)ethanedione. The crystal structure was determined at 100 K.

Comment

During our investigations of the metal-binding properties of dithienylquinoxalines, we have published crystal structures of 2,3-dithien-2-ylquinoxaline (Crundwell *et al.*, 2003), its protonated perchlorate salt (Foss *et al.*, 2004) and 2,3-bis(5-bromothien-2-yl)quinoxaline (Crundwell *et al.*, 2004). We report here the crystal structure of the title compound, (I) (Fig. 1).



All bond lengths and angles fall within established ranges; however, in accordance with past publications where we have been interested in the planarity of the aryl substituents in order to assess potential metal-binding through thienyl ring S atoms, the angles between thienyl rings and quinoxaline are as follows (Crundwell *et al.*, 2004). The angle between ring 1 (C9– C12/S1) and the quinoxaline moiety is 18.82 (9)°; whereas the angle between ring 2 and the quinoxaline subunit is 36.66 (5)°.

## Experimental

To a 50 ml round-bottomed flask equipped with a reflux condenser were added 1,2-bis(5-bromothien-2yl)ethanedione (0.38 g, 1 mmol) and 4,5-dichloro-1,2-phenylenediamine (0.177 g, 1 mmol) (which were crushed together in a mortar and pestle), and ethanol (about 20 ml). The mixture was heated with refluxing for 5.5 h. After this time, the resulting green–yellow solution was placed in an ice bath. The yellow precipitate was vacuum-filtered and washed with cold water and ethanol. The product was purified by recrystallization from ethanol and yielded (I) as a yellow solid (0.2 g, yield 52.6%). Crystals of (I) melted at 448 K.

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Received 28 February 2005 Accepted 4 March 2005

Online 11 March 2005

#### Crystal data

 $C_{16}H_6Br_2Cl_2N_2S_2$   $M_r = 521.07$ Tetragonal,  $P\overline{4}2_1c$  a = 20.2456 (6) Å c = 8.5209 (5) Å  $V = 3492.6 (3) \text{ Å}^3$  Z = 8  $D_x = 1.982 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX diffractometer  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2003)  $T_{min} = 0.667, T_{max} = 0.77$ 41333 measured reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$   $wR(F^2) = 0.067$  S = 1.015351 reflections 217 parameters H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0368P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation Cell parameters from 6538 reflections  $\theta = 2.6-30.3^{\circ}$  $\mu = 5.19 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 (2) KNeedle, yellow  $0.19 \times 0.05 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ 

5351 independent reflections 4694 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   $R_{int} = 0.064$   $\theta_{max} = 30.6^{\circ}$   $h = -28 \rightarrow 28$   $k = -28 \rightarrow 28$  $l = -12 \rightarrow 12$ 

$$\begin{split} &(\Delta/\sigma)_{max}=0.012\\ &\Delta\rho_{max}=0.65~\text{e}~\text{\AA}^{-3}\\ &\Delta\rho_{min}=-0.33~\text{e}~\text{\AA}^{-3}\\ &\text{Extinction correction: none}\\ &\text{Absolute structure: Flack (1983),}\\ &2370~\text{Friedel pairs}\\ &\text{Flack parameter: 0.001 (6)} \end{split}$$

H atoms were included in calculated positions, with a C–H distance of 0.95 Å, and were included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation, with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ .

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

GC acknowledges the Donors of the American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund for primary support of this



A view of (I) (Farrugia, 1997). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

research (grant No. 38867-B5m). This research was also funded in part by a CCSU-AAUP research grant and CCSU Faculty Student Research Grants. MZ and JU were supported by NSF grant 0111511, and the diffractometer was funded by NSF grant 0087210, by Ohio Board of Regents grant CAP-491 and by YSU.

### References

- Bruker (1999). SMART and SAINT-Plus. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Crundwell, G., Linehan, J., Updegraff, J. B. III, Zeller, M. & Hunter, A. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, 0656–0657.
- Crundwell, G., Sayers, D., Herron, S. R. & Kantardjieff, K. (2003). *Acta Cryst.* E**59**, 0314–0315.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Foss, P. C. D., Crundwell, G., Updegraff, J. B. III, Zeller, M. & Hunter, A. (2004). *Acta Cryst.* E60, 01281–01282.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS. Version 2.10. University of Göttingen, Germany.